

1/28/00

EJ18764589905

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No. AT9-99-483

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of Inventor(s):

HATIM YOUSEF AMRO AND ELIZABETH SILVIA

For: **INSTANT SELECTIVE MULTIPLE SOFT DOCUMENT SHARING BETWEEN
MULTIPLE HETEROGENEOUS COMPUTING DEVICES**

Enclosed are also:

- ☒ 21 Pages of Specification including an Abstract
- ☒ 7 Pages of Claims
- ☒ 6 Sheet(s) of Drawings
- ☒ A Declaration and Power of Attorney
- ☒ Form PTO 1595 and assignment of the invention to IBM Corporation

CLAIMS AS FILED

FOR	Number Filed		Number Extra		Rate		Basic Fee (\$690)
Total Claims	28	-20 =	8	X	\$ 18	=	\$144.00
Independent Claims	7	-3 =	4	X	\$ 78	=	\$312.00
Multiple Dependent Claims	0			X	\$260	=	\$0
Total Filing Fee							= \$1146.00

☒ Please charge \$1,146.00 to IBM Corporation, Deposit Account No. 09-0447.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with the communication or credit any over payment to IBM Corporation, Deposit Account No. 09-0447. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37CFR § 1.16.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37CFR § 1.17.

Respectfully,

Casimer K. Salys

Reg. No. 28,9000

Intellectual Property Law Dept.

IBM Corporation

11400 Burnet Road 4054

Austin, Texas 75758

Telephone: (512) 823-0092

Docket No. AT9-99-483

**INSTANT SELECTIVE MULTIPLE SOFT DOCUMENT SHARING BETWEEN
MULTIPLE HETEROGENEOUS COMPUTING DEVICES**

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Technical Field:

The present invention relates generally to the field
of computer software and, more particularly, to methods
10 and systems for soft document sharing.

2. Description of Related Art:

Current computing applications are largely single
user systems. For example, conventional editing
15 applications allow a single user to open a file and make
modifications to the content. If while the file is open
by a first user, a second user attempts to open the file,
the second user will be prevented from opening or
modifying the file. The second user is sometimes
20 permitted to obtain a snapshot copy of the file. The
snapshot copy, however, is not updated with any of the
subsequent modifications made to the original copy by the
first user. Thus, the second user is unable to share in
the first user's ideas manifested as file modifications.
25 Moreover, the second user is prevented from modifying the
content of the original file and, thus, is prevented from
sharing his or her ideas manifested as file
modifications. In short, the first and second user are
unable to collaboratively edit the file.

30 Collaboration, as the term is used herein, implies
an ability for multiple clients to share ideas. This
sharing includes the ability to automatically express

Docket No. AT9-99-483

one's ideas to the other members without having to have the other members explicitly solicit the ideas. Collaboration also includes the ability for each member to automatically receive any ideas from members who are transmitting ideas. Thus, at a minimum, collaboration implies communication among members that are party to the collaborative effort. This communication/collaboration may follow many models. A "brain-storming" session is an unrestrained model of collaboration. On the other hand, a "round-robin" model, in which each member has a specified turn to express ideas, is a constrained model of collaboration.

To fill this need for collaboration, collaborative software tools have been written that provide a shared document framework that allow documents to be collaboratively accessed by multiple users in a collaborative session. The shared document framework typically provides a mechanism for each collaborator to obtain a replicated copy of the shared document from a network server into the collaborator's local address space, which copy is in synchronism with all other replicated copies of the shared document. Such a system works fine for traditional desktop personal computers connected to a physical network. However, such a model does not work as well for computing devices such as, for example, personal digital assistants that do not have a physical network.

Personal digital assistants (PDAs) and similar computing devices are increasingly used by users to create and maintain schedules of meetings, notes, charts, lists, and other items that the user may need with them

Docket No. AT9-99-483

at all times. Because of the portable nature of these machines, they provide a convenient way for users to maintain access to these items without being tied to an office. Many of these documents are, despite their
5 portable nature, documents for which collaborative involvement is sometimes needed. For example, during a meeting, many users may need to access and modify a document simultaneously in order to create a presentation. The collaboration of several individuals
10 working on a single document simultaneously may result in a presentation that is markedly superior to one created by a single individual or even by multiple individuals working on the document at separate times. However, currently, PDAs and similar devices do not have the
15 functionality necessary to provide collaborative sharing of documents. Therefore, a method and system of allowing users of PDAs and other portable computing devices that lack a connection to a physical network to collaborate with each other through shared access to soft documents
20 is desirable. Furthermore, a method and system of allowing devices utilizing different operating systems to share documents with each other in a secure environment is also desirable.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a system and method for providing dynamically shared documents to multiple computing devices. In a preferred embodiment, the system includes a hub and a plurality of computing devices in physical proximity with the hub. Each of the plurality of computing devices communicates with the hub via a wireless connection. The hub acts as a pass-through device receiving and transmitting requests from a requesting computing device to other computing devices and receiving and transmitting answers from the other computing devices to the requesting computing device. Each computing device translates requests and shared documents into a system independent language before transmitting the request of the document to the hub and each computing device translates received documents from the system independent language into a data format preferred by that particular computing device. Thus, documents may be securely shared between heterogeneous computing devices (HCDs), which are computing devices having different operating systems and data formats, without having a physical network connection. Furthermore, the hub is portable, thus allowing the sharing of documents at any location in which several users have gathered for a meeting.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself, however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objectives and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1 depicts a pictorial diagram illustrating a document sharing system **100** for portable computing devices in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 depicts a block diagram of a hub in which the present invention may be implemented;

Figure 3 depicts a block diagram of a data processing system in which the present invention may be implemented;

Figure 4 depicts a block diagram of an exemplary personal digital assistant in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 5 depicts a flowchart illustrating the processes of the present invention performed on a hub;

Figure 6 depicts a flowchart illustrating a method of sending a request for and receiving a document from another HCD in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 7 depicts a flowchart illustrating a method of processing on a computing device for processing a

Docket No. AT9-99-483

request for a document in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 8 depicts a flowchart illustrating a method on a hub of re-transmitting requests for documents and the requested documents to computing devices in accordance with the present invention; and

Figure 9 depicts a flowchart illustrating a method on a hub of determining whether other hubs are active in the vicinity of the present hub in accordance with the present invention.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

With reference now to the figures, and in particular with reference to **Figure 1**, a pictorial diagram illustrating a document sharing system **100** for portable computing devices is depicted in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Document sharing system **100** includes a hub **102**, laptop computers **104**, **106**, and **108**, and personal digital assistants (PDAs) **110**, **112**, and **114**.

Hub **102** is a portable device that can be carried with a user to any location to facilitate sharing of soft documents between multiple computing devices. Once hub **102** is turned on, it polls the area in which it is located to determine if there is another hub present. If there is another hub present, then hub **102** presents the user with an error indication through, for example, an LED display or through creation of a sound indicating that another hub is present. Once the error indication has been presented to the user, hub **102** then powers down. Alternatively, if hub **102** determines that one or more other hubs are present in the vicinity, hub **102** can collaborate with the other hubs to provide document sharing to the computing devices present in the vicinity.

Once hub **102** has been powered up and determined that there are no other hubs in the vicinity, then hub **102** creates a list of computing devices that are present in

Docket No. AT9-99-483

the vicinity. As each portable computing device comes into proximity with hub **102**, it will initiate contact with hub **102** to indicate its presence such that hub **102** can add it to the list of computing devices present in the vicinity.

Hub **102**, each of laptop computers **104**, **106**, and **108** and each of PDAs **110**, **112**, and **114** is equipped with a wireless receiver and transmitter for facilitating communications between the computing devices and hub **102**. Preferably, the wireless receiver and transmitter is an infrared receiver and transmitter. However, alternatively, the wireless receiver and transmitter could be any type of wireless receiver and transmitter, such as, for example, a radio frequency (RF) receiver and transmitter. If a wireless receiver and transmitter other than an infrared wireless receiver and transmitter is utilized, the transmission power for the transmitter may need to be limited such that the signals transmitted between the computing devices and hub **102** may not be received and interpreted by devices that are not in the local vicinity of hub **102** to prevent an unauthorized user from intercepting and reading the exchanged documents.

Optionally, as an added security feature in order to prevent unauthorized access to shared documents, data transmission between the computing devices **104**, **106**, **108**, **110**, **112**, and **114** may be encrypted. Methods of encrypting and decrypting data are well known in the art.

When a user of a computing device, such as PDA **110**, that has registered with hub **102** desires to retrieve a document from another computing device, such as laptop computer **108**, that is registered with hub **102**, the

Docket No. AT9-99-483

requesting computing device sends a request for the document to hub **102**. The request is sent in a commonly understood data format. Hub **102** then retransmits the request to laptop computer **108**. Laptop computer **108** then
5 retrieves the requested document and translates it into the commonly understood file format and sends the document to hub **102**. Hub **102** retransmits the requested document to the requesting computing device, PDA **110**, which then translates the document from the commonly
10 understood data format into the preferred file format of PDA **110**.

Because each computing device translates requests and documents into a commonly understood language before broadcasting the request or document, documents may be
15 shared between heterogeneous computing devices (HCDs) which are computing devices that may be running different operating systems and utilizing different data file formats. For example, laptop computer **108** may be running Microsoft Windows 98 operating system, laptop computer
20 **106** may be running IBM's OS/2 operating system, laptop computer **104** may be running Apple's System 7 operating system, and PDAs **110**, **112**, and **114** may be running 3Com's Palm OS operating system. Yet, because each device includes a translator to translate requests and documents
25 into and out of a commonly understood language, documents created on one machine may be shared with other types of machines.

Users of each registered computing device may control access to documents on their respective machines
30 by designating certain documents as "shared" and allowing others access to only these "shared" documents.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

Hub **102** does not store or modify the requests or the documents it receives, but merely acts as a pass-through device that retransmits any requests or documents such that the appropriate party may receive the request or document. By utilizing hub **102**, a computing device is able to communicate with more than one other computing device without having to reorient the device to aim it at the next computing device with which it wishes to communicate.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the hardware in **Figure 1** may vary depending on the implementation. For example, more, fewer, or different types of computing devices may be included without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention. Furthermore, the depicted example is not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect to the present invention.

With reference to **Figure 2**, a block diagram of a hub in which the present invention may be implemented is illustrated. Hub **200** is an example of a hub, such as hub **102** in **Figure 1**, for facilitating secure wireless sharing of documents between computing devices running different operating systems and utilizing different document formats.

Hub **200** includes a system memory **204**, a processor **202**, a wireless communication interface **206**, and a bus **208**. Optionally, hub **200** may also include a display **212**. Display **212**, if included, allows hub **200** to indicate error and status messages to users.

System memory **204** is used to store a list of computing devices that have registered with hub **200** to

Docket No. AT9-99-483

share documents with other computing devices. Wireless communication interface **206** is used to facilitate reception and transmission of requests and documents to and from registered computing devices. Hub **200** does not
5 store or alter requests for documents or the documents it receives from a computing device. Hub **200** merely retransmits the request or the document such that the appropriate computing device may receive the request or document.

10 Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the hardware in **Figure 2** may vary depending on the implementation and that the depicted example is not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect to the present invention.

15 With reference now to **Figure 3**, a block diagram of a data processing system in which the present invention may be implemented is illustrated. Data processing system **300** is an example of a laptop computer, such as, for example, any one of laptop computers **104**, **106**, or **108**.

20 Data processing system **300** may be, for example, an IBM Thinkpad notebook computer running a PCI application. Data processing system **300** employs a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) local bus architecture. Although the depicted example employs a PCI bus, other bus
25 architectures, such as Micro Channel and ISA, may be used. Processor **302** and main memory **304** are connected to PCI local bus **306** through PCI bridge **308**. PCI bridge **308** may also include an integrated memory controller and cache memory for processor **302**. Additional connections
30 to PCI local bus **306** may be made through direct component

Docket No. AT9-99-483

interconnection or through add-in boards. In the depicted example, local area network (LAN) adapter **310**, SCSI host bus adapter **312**, and expansion bus interface **314** are connected to PCI local bus **306** by direct component connection. In contrast, audio adapter **316**, graphics adapter **318**, and audio/video adapter (A/V) **319** are connected to PCI local bus **306** by add-in boards inserted into expansion slots. Expansion bus interface **314** provides a connection for a keyboard and mouse adapter **320**, Infrared (IR) interface **322**, and additional memory **324**. In the depicted example, SCSI host bus adapter **312** provides a connection for hard disk drive **326**, tape drive **328**, CD-ROM drive **330**, and digital video disc read only memory drive (DVD-ROM) **332**. Typical PCI local bus implementations will support three or four PCI expansion slots or add-in connectors.

An operating system runs on processor **302** and is used to coordinate and provide control of various components within data processing system **300** in **Figure 3**. The operating system may be a commercially available operating system, such as OS/2, which is available from International Business Machines Corporation. "OS/2" is a trademark of International Business Machines Corporation. An object oriented programming system, such as Java, may run in conjunction with the operating system, providing calls to the operating system from Java programs or applications executing on data processing system **300**. Instructions for the operating system, the object-oriented operating system, and applications or programs are located on a storage device, such as hard

Docket No. AT9-99-483

disk drive **326**, and may be loaded into main memory **304** for execution by processor **302**.

IR interface **322** provides a channel for information to be sent from data processing system **300** to an IR transmitter to be transmitted to hub **102** and also provides a channel for information to be received by data processing system **300** from hub **102** via an IR receiver. Communication between data processing system **300** and hub **102** may use, for example, an Infrared Data Association (IrDA) Infrared Communications Protocol (IrCOMM). When data processing system **300** requests a document from another computing device that has established a session with hub **102**, data processing system **300** translates its request into a system independent language or commonly understood file format utilized by hub **102**. The system independent language may be, for example, Java or Extensible Markup Language (XML). Once the document has been translated into a system independent language, data processing system **300** sends the translated request to hub **102** via an IR signal emitted from IR interface **322**. When data processing system **300** receives the requested document from hub **102** via IR interface **322**, the requested document is translated from the system independent language into the format preferred by data processing system **300**. If data processing system **300** receives a request for a document from hub **102**, data processing system **300** retrieves the document and translates the document into the system independent language utilized by hub **102** and sends the translated document to hub **102** via an IR link.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the hardware in **Figure 3** may vary depending on the implementation. For example, other peripheral devices, such as optical disk drives, modems, and the like, may be
5 used in addition to or in place of the hardware depicted in **Figure 3**. The depicted example is not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect to the present invention. For example, the processes of the present invention may be applied to multiprocessor data
10 processing systems.

Turning now to **Figure 4**, a block diagram of an exemplary personal digital assistant **400** is depicted in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Personal digital assistant (PDA) **400** may be
15 implemented as any one of PDAs **110**, **112**, or **114** depicted in **Figure 1**. Communication between PDA **400** and hub **102** use, for example, Infrared Data Association (IrDA) Infrared Communications Protocol 1.0 (IrCOMM 1.0). PDA **400** is preferably a palm top computer having a wireless
20 network interface. PDA **400** may be, for example, a Palm VII Palm Pilot from 3Com. PDA **400** has a central processing unit **495** connected to a bus **490**. Central processing unit ("CPU") **495** performs most of the computing and logic functions of PDA **400**. A memory **496**
25 is connected to bus **490**, which stores information to be provided to CPU **495** or otherwise used by PDA **400**. An input/output device **497**, such as a keyboard, is also connected to bus **490** which allows a user to input data for storage in memory **496** or for use by CPU **495**. A
30 display **498** is connected to bus **490**. PDA **400** also has a

Docket No. AT9-99-483

wireless communication interface **499** for communication with hub **102**. Wireless communication interface **499** may utilize, for example, an infrared or radio frequency transmitter and receiver.

5 An operating system runs on CPU **495** and is used to coordinate and provide control of various components within PDA **400** in **Figure 4**. The operating system may be a commercially available operating system, such as the Palm OS operating system available from 3Com Corporation.

10 An object oriented programming system, such as Java, may run in conjunction with the operating system, providing calls to the operating system from Java programs or applications executing on data processing system **400**.

Instructions for the operating system, the
15 object-oriented operating system, and applications or programs are located on a storage device, such as hard disk drive **494**, and may be loaded into system memory **496** for execution by CPU **495**.

When PDA **400** requests a document from another
20 computing device that has established a session with hub **102**, PDA **400** translates its request into a system independent language or commonly understood file format utilized by hub **102**. The system independent language may be, for example, Java or Extensible Markup Language
25 (XML). Once the document has been translated into a system independent language, PDA **400** sends the translated request to hub **102** via an IR signal emitted from wireless communication interface **499**. When PDA **400** receives the requested document from hub **102** via wireless
30 communication interface **499**, the requested document is

Docket No. AT9-99-483

translated from the system independent language into the format preferred by PDA **400**. If PDA **400** receives a request for a document from hub **102**, PDA **400** retrieves the document and translates the document into the system
5 independent language utilized by hub **102** and sends the translated document to hub **102** via an IR link.

It should be noted that translation of documents between different data formats is well known in the art.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate
10 that the hardware in **Figure 4** may vary depending on the implementation. For example, other devices may be used in addition to or in place of the hardware depicted in **Figure 4**. The depicted example is not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect to the present
15 invention.

Referring now to **Figure 5**, a flowchart illustrating the processes of the present invention performed on a hub is depicted. Once the hub is powered up, it performs system checks (step **502**) which include polling the
20 immediate vicinity to determine if any other hubs are present. If not, then it determines if the next event is a poll a heterogeneous computing device (HCD) event (step **504**). If the event is a poll HCD event, then the hub polls present HCDs at a predetermined interval to
25 determine the identities of all HCDs present in the vicinity of the hub (step **506**). The list of present HCDs is updated (step **508**) and the hub waits for the next event (step **503**).

If the event is not a poll HCD event, then the hub
30 determines whether the event is request to retrieve a list of present HCDs (step **510**). If the event is a list

Docket No. AT9-99-483

of present HCDs, then the hub broadcasts the list of present HCDs to the requesting HCD (step **512**) and then waits for the next event (step **503**).

If the event is not a request for a list of present HCDs, then the hub determines if the event is an HCD requesting a shared document program from another HCD (step **514**). If the event is a request for a shared document, then the hub broadcasts the request to the target HCD (step **516**) and then waits for the next event (step **503**).

If the event is not a request for a shared document, then the hub determines if the event is an HCD sending a shared document to another HCD (step **518**). If the event is an HCD sending a shared document to another HCD, then the hub broadcasts the shared document to the target HCD (step **520**) and then waits for the next event (step **503**).

If the event is not an HCD sending a shared document to another HCD, the hub determines if the event is a power off event (step **522**). If the event is not a power off event, the hub waits for the next event (step **503**). If the event is a power off event, then the hub powers down (step **522**).

Referring now to **Figure 6**, a flowchart illustrating the processes of the present invention performed on a hub. To begin, the HCD receives an event (step **601**). Next, the HCD determines if the event is a request for a list of present HCDs from the hub (step **602**). If the event is a request for a list of present HCDs, then the HCD packs the request (step **604**) and sends the request to the hub (step **606**). The HCD then waits

Docket No. AT9-99-483

for the next event (step **601**).

If the event is not a request for a list of present HCDs, then the HCD determines if the event is receipt of a list of present HCDs from the hub (step **608**). If the
 5 event is a receipt of list of present HCDs from the hub, then the HCD unpacks the request (step **610**), processes the list (step **612**), and waits for the next event step **601**).

If the event is not a receipt of a list of present
 10 HCDs from the hub, then the HCD determines if the request is a list of shared documents present on another HCD (step **614**). If the event is a request for a list of shared documents present on another HCD, then the HCD packs the request in a system independent language (step
 15 **616**) and sends the request to the hub (step **618**). The HCD then waits for the next event (step **601**).

If the event is not a request for a list of shared documents present no another HCD, then the HCD determines if the event is a list of shared documents on another HCD
 20 received from the hub (step **620**). If the event is a list of shared documents present on another HCD received from the hub, then the HCD unpacks the request from the system independent language into a language preferred by the HCD (step **622**) and then processes the list (step **624**). The
 25 HCD then waits for the next event (step **601**).

If the event is not a list of shared documents on another HCD received from the hub, then the HCD determines if the event is a request of a shared document from another HCD (step **626**). If the event is a request
 30 of a shared document from another HCD, then the HCD packs the request in a system independent language (step **628**)

Docket No. AT9-99-483

and sends the request to the hub (step **630**). The HCD then waits for the next event.

If the event is not a request for a shared document from another HCD, then the HCD determines if the event is
 5 a shared document from another HCD received from the hub (step **632**). If the event is a shared document from another HCD received by the present HCD from the hub, then the present HCD unpacks the shared document from the system independent language and translates the document
 10 into a language preferred by the present HCD (step **634**). The HCD then processes the shared document according to the wishes of a user (step **636**) and then waits for the next event (step **601**).

If the event is not a shared document received from
 15 the hub, then the HCD determines if the event is a request by another HCD for information from the present HCD (step **638**). If the event is a request from another HCD, then the present HCD packs the answer in a system independent language (step **640**) and sends the answer to
 20 the hub (step **642**). The request may be for a list of shared documents present on the present HCD or for one of the shared documents present on the HCD. The HCD then waits for the next event (step **601**).

If the event is not a request by another HCD, then
 25 the HCD determines if the event is an end shared document session event (step **644**). If the event is an end shared document session event, then the session the HCDs participation in the session is terminated (step **646**). Otherwise, the HCD waits for the next event (step **601**).

30 It is important to note that while the present invention has been described in the context of a fully

Docket No. AT9-99-483

functioning data processing system, those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the processes of the present invention are capable of being distributed in the form of a computer readable medium of instructions and a variety of forms and that the present invention applies equally regardless of the particular type of signal bearing media actually used to carry out the distribution. Examples of computer readable media include recordable-type media such a floppy disc, a hard disk drive, a RAM, and CD-ROMs and transmission-type media such as digital and analog communications links.

The description of the present invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description, but is not intended to be exhaustive or limited to the invention in the form disclosed. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. The embodiment was chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention, the practical application, and to enable others of ordinary skill in the art to understand the invention for various embodiments with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

5 **CLAIMS:**

What is claimed is:

1. A system for providing dynamically shared documents,
comprising:
 - 10 a hub; and
 a plurality of computing devices in physical
proximity with the hub; wherein
 each of the plurality of computing devices
communicates with the hub via a wireless connection;
 - 15 the hub receives and retransmits requested documents
between selected computing devices;
 each of the plurality of computing devices
translates each requested document into a system
independent language prior to transmitting the requested
20 document to the hub; and
 each of the plurality of computing devices
translates each received document from the hub.
2. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein the system
25 independent language is a Java based language.
3. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein the system
independent language is an extensible markup language.
- 30 4. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein the hub is
portable.

Docket No. AT9-99-483

5. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein at least one of the plurality of computing devices is a personal digital assistant.

5 6. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein at least one of the plurality of computing devices is a laptop computer.

7. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein at least
10 one of the plurality of computing devices is portable.

8. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein
transmissions between each of the plurality of computing
devices and the hub are encrypted.

15 9. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein
transmissions between each of the plurality of computing
devices and the hub are infrared transmissions.

20 10. The system as recited in claim 1, wherein
transmissions between each of the plurality of computing
devices and the hub are radio frequency transmissions.

11. A method in a data processing system for retrieving
25 documents from other data processing systems, comprising
the steps of:

30 sending, from a first data processing system, a
request, in a system independent language, for a shared
document from a second data processing system to a hub in
close proximity to the first and second data processing
systems via a wireless communication signal;

Docket No. AT9-99-483

receiving, from the hub, via the wireless communication link, the shared document, formatted in the system independent language; and

translating the shared document from the system
5 independent language into a first data processing system preferred data format for presentation to a user.

12. The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the strength of the wireless communication signal is such
10 that only devices in close proximity with each other may receive the signal, thus ensuring that only authorized recipients receive information conveyed via the wireless communication signal.

13. The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the system independent language is an extensible markup language.

14. The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the
20 system independent language is JAVA.

15. A computer program product in computer readable media for use in a data processing system for retrieving documents from other data processing systems, the
25 computer program product comprising:

first instructions for sending, from a first data processing system, a request, in a system independent language, for a shared document from a second data processing system to a hub in close proximity to the
30 first and second data processing systems via a wireless communication signal;

Docket No. AT9-99-483

second instructions for receiving, from the hub, via the wireless communication link, the shared document, formatted in the system independent language; and

third instructions for translating the shared
5 document from the system independent language into a first data processing system preferred data format for presentation to a user.

16. The computer program product as recited in claim 15,
10 wherein the strength of the wireless communication signal is such that only devices in close proximity with each other may receive the signal, thus ensuring that only authorized recipients receive information conveyed via the wireless communication signal.

15 17. The computer program product as recited in claim 15, wherein the system independent language is an extensible markup language.

20 18. The computer program product as recited in claim 15, wherein the system independent language is JAVA.

19. A system for retrieving documents from other data processing systems, comprising:

25 means for sending, from a first data processing system, a request, in a system independent language, for a shared document from a second data processing system to a hub in close proximity to the first and second data processing systems via a wireless communication signal;

30 means for receiving, from the hub, via the wireless communication link, the shared document, formatted in the

Docket No. AT9-99-483

system independent language; and

means for translating the shared document from the system independent language into a first data processing system preferred data format for presentation to a user.

5

20. The system as recited in claim 19, wherein the strength of the wireless communication signal is such that only devices in close proximity with each other may receive the signal, thus ensuring that only authorized recipients receive information conveyed via the wireless communication signal.

21. The system as recited in claim 19, wherein the system independent language is an extensible markup language.

22. The system as recited in claim 19, wherein the system independent language is JAVA.

23. A method in a data processing system for facilitating communications between a plurality of other data processing systems, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request in a system independent format from a first data processing system via a wireless communication link;

broadcasting the request to a second data processing system via the wireless communication link;

receiving an answer in a system independent format from the second data processing system via the wireless communication link; and

broadcasting the answer to the first data processing

Docket No. AT9-99-483

system via the wireless communication link.

24. The method as recited in claim 23, wherein the
wireless communication link utilizes infrared
5 frequencies.

25. A computer program product in a computer readable
media for use in a data processing system for
facilitating communications between a plurality of other
10 data processing systems, the computer program product
comprising:

first instructions for receiving a request in a
system independent format from a first data processing
system via a wireless communication link;

15 second instructions for broadcasting the request to
a second data processing system via the wireless
communication link;

third instructions for receiving an answer in a
system independent format from the second data processing
20 system via the wireless communication link; and

fourth instructions for broadcasting the answer to
the first data processing system via the wireless
communication link.

25 26. The computer program product as recited in claim 25,
wherein the wireless communication link utilizes infrared
frequencies.

27. A system in a data processing system for
30 facilitating communications between a plurality of other
data processing systems, comprising:

Docket No. AT9-99-483

means for receiving a request in a system independent format from a first data processing system via a wireless communication link;

5 means for broadcasting the request to a second data processing system via the wireless communication link;

means for receiving an answer in a system independent format from the second data processing system via the wireless communication link; and

10 means for broadcasting the answer to the first data processing system via the wireless communication link.

28. The system as recited in claim 27, wherein the wireless communication link utilizes infrared frequencies.


Docket No. AT9-99-483

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

**INSTANT SELECTIVE MULTIPLE SOFT DOCUMENT SHARING BETWEEN
5 MULTIPLE HETEROGENEOUS COMPUTING DEVICES**

A system and method for providing dynamically shared documents to multiple computing devices. In a preferred
10 embodiment, the system includes a hub and a plurality of computing devices in physical proximity with the hub. Each of the plurality of computing devices communicates with the hub via a wireless connection. The hub acts as a pass-through device receiving and transmitting requests
15 from a requesting computing device to other computing devices and receiving and transmitting answers from the other computing devices to the requesting computing device. Each computing device translates requests and shared documents into a system independent language
20 before transmitting the request of the document to the hub and each computing device translates received documents from the system independent language into a data format preferred by that particular computing device. Thus, documents may be securely shared between
25 computing devices having different operating systems and data formats without having a physical network connection. Furthermore, the hub is portable, thus allowing the sharing of documents at any location in which several users have gathered for a meeting.


30




200
Hub

Figure 2

AT9-99-483



300
Client
Figure 3
AT9-99-483



400
PDA
Figure 4
AT9-99-483

Figure 5

AT9-99-483





Figure 6

AT9-99-483



**DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR
PATENT APPLICATION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

INSTANT SELECTIVE MULTIPLE SOFT DOCUMENT SHARING BETWEEN MULTIPLE
HETEROGENEOUS COMPUTING DEVICES

the specification of which (check one)

X is attached hereto.

___ was filed on _____
as Application Serial No. _____
and was amended on _____
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):	Priority Claimed
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>_____ (Number)</div> <div>_____ (Country)</div> <div>_____ (Day/Month/Year)</div> </div>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: flex-end;"> <div>____ Yes ____ No</div> </div>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

_____ (Application Serial #)	_____ (Filing Date)	_____ (Status)
---------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

John W. Henderson, Jr., Reg. No. 26,907; Thomas E. Tyson, Reg. No. 28,543; James H. Barksdale, Jr., Reg. No. 24,091; Casimer K. Salys, Reg. No. 28,900; Robert M. Carwell, Reg. No. 28,499; Douglas H. Lefevre, Reg. No. 26,193; Jeffrey S. LaBaw, Reg. No. 31,633; David A. Mims, Jr., Reg. No. 32,708; Volel Emile, Reg. No. 39,969; Anthony V. England, Reg. No. 35,129; Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Reg. No. 42,196; Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914; Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588; John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279; Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753; Marilyn S. Dawkins, Reg. No. 31,140; Mark E. McBurney, Reg. No. 33,114; Duke W. Yee, Reg. No. 34,285; Colin P. Cahoon, Reg. No. 38,836; Joseph R. Burwell, Reg. No. 44,468; Rudolph J. Buchel, Reg. No. 43,448; and Stephen R. Loe, Reg. No. 43,757, Stephen J. Walder, Reg. No. 41,534.

Send correspondence to: Duke W. Yee, Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP, P.O. Box 802334, Dallas, Texas 75380 and direct all telephone calls to Duke W. Yee, (972) 367-2001

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR: HATIM YOUSEF AMRO

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: Hatim Yousef Amro DATE: Jan 26 2000

RESIDENCE: 15024 WELLS PORT DRIVE
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78728

CITIZENSHIP: UNITED STATES

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: SAME AS ABOVE

FULL NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR: ELIZABETH SILVIA

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: Elizabeth Silvia DATE: JAN. 26, 2000

RESIDENCE: 4402 HYRIDGE DRIVE
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78759

CITIZENSHIP: UNITED STATES

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: SAME AS ABOVE